

Research article

Open Access

Ligand-dependent localization and intracellular stability of sigma-1 receptors in CHO-K1 cells

Timur A Mavlyutov and Arnold E Ruoho*

Address: Department of Pharmacology, University of Wisconsin, School of Medicine and Public Health; 1300 University Ave, Madison, WI 53706, USA

Email: Timur A Mavlyutov - tamavlyutov@wisc.edu; Arnold E Ruoho* - aeruoho@wisc.edu

* Corresponding author

Published: 20 September 2007

Received: 24 May 2007

Journal of Molecular Signaling 2007, 2:8 doi:10.1186/1750-2187-2-8

Accepted: 20 September 2007

This article is available from: <http://www.jmolecularsignaling.com/content/2/1/8>

© 2007 Mavlyutov and Ruoho; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Sigma-1 receptors are involved in regulation of neuronal activities presumably through regulation of the activity of ion channels. Sigma-1 receptors also play a role in growth and metastasis of cancerous cells. Intracellular distribution of sigma-1 receptors have been linked to sphingolipid-enriched domains.

Results: We report that in CHO-K1 cells sigma-1 receptors target to focal adhesion contacts (FAC) where they colocalize with Talin and Kv1.4 potassium channels. The levels of sigma-1 receptors in the FAC were significantly increased by application of sigma-1 receptor ligands and by filamentous actin (F-actin) polymerization with phalloidin. The total length of FAC (measured by the focal adhesion marker, talin) was concomitantly increased in the presence of sigma-1 receptors upon phalloidin treatment. Only sigma-1 receptor ligands, however, resulted in an increase of sigma-1 receptors in the FAC, independent of talin. Additionally, a novel approach was utilized to allow an assessment of the half life of endogenous sigma-1 receptors in CHO-K1 cells, which was measured to be at least 72 hours.

Conclusion: Ligand activated sigma-1 receptors translocate into FAC from a pool of receptors stored in ER lipid rafts presumably for inhibition of Kv1.4 channels. Stabilization of actin filaments is likely to be important for targeting sigma-1 receptors to Focal Adhesion Contacts in CHO-K1 cells.

Background

Sigma-1 receptors have been shown to be expressed in many tissues and enriched in the brain[1]. This receptor was first described as a type of opioid receptor[2], but further experiments showed that the sigma-1 receptor has distinct pharmacological properties[3]. It binds to drugs such as (+)-pentazocine[4], cocaine[5], methamphetamine[6] and neurosteroids[7] and is implicated in certain forms of psychoses[8], pain tolerance[9], learning and memory and attention deficit disorders[8]. Myriad

properties that are associated with sigma-1 receptors led some to consider the receptors as intracellular amplifiers[10]. Endogenous ligands are unknown at present and common signal transduction pathways remain elusive.

However, electrophysiological experiments have shed some light on a significant role of sigma-1 receptors involving an inhibitory action on the activity of ion channels such as potassium Kv1.4, Kv1.5[11] and chloride VRCC[12] channels. These data provided evidence for a

possible general function of sigma-1 receptors in maintenance of the excitability of cell membranes in response to psychotomimetic drugs. Involvement of sigma-1 receptors in a plethora of known pathways is implied in its gene promoter, which contains an AP-1 complex (regulating immediate early gene products) binding sequence[13]. Inhibition of AP-1 expression through suppression of the extracellular receptor kinase (ERK) MAPK pathway activity resulted in significant downregulation of the total level of sigma-1 receptors[14]. On the other hand, activation of sigma-1 receptors resulted in upregulation of the FRA-2 gene[15,16], a member of the AP-1 subfamily.

Sigma-1 receptors have been predicted to be transmembrane proteins[11]. They are localized to sphingosine-enriched lipid rafts on the bundles of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and have been shown to target to the plasma membrane (PM) as well. Translocation of sigma-1 receptors from the ER to the PM has been reported[17,18]. In cultured oligodendrocytes sigma-1 receptors are involved in trafficking of galactosylceramide, an important component of myelin[19].

Additionally, cancer cell lines have been shown to be significantly enriched with sigma-1 receptors[20]. Application of sigma-1 receptor drugs as well as silencing of sigma-1 receptors with RNAi inhibited cell adhesion to the plating surface and suggested novel functions of sigma-1 receptors in metastasis[20].

Here we report for the first time that in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO-K1) cells sigma-1 receptors target to focal adhesion contacts (FAC) where they colocalize with Kv1.4 and talin. The appearance of sigma-1 receptors in the FAC increased significantly upon treatment with the sigma-1 receptor ligands (+)-pentazocine, haloperidol and iodoazidococaine[21,22] as well as by stabilization of filamentous actin with phalloidin. We also utilized a novel protocol for photolabeling endogenous sigma-1 receptors in live cells, allowing us to determine a significant intracellular stability of the endogenous receptor pools in CHO-K1 cells.

Results

The specificity of rabbit polyclonal antibody against the purified whole-sequence sigma-1 receptor protein[23] was confirmed by preadsorption with antigen (Fig. 1). The sigma-1 receptor immunocytochemical staining revealed that the receptors were localized to the nuclear envelope (NE), endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the focal adhesion contacts (FAC). In order to detect sigma-1 receptors in the FAC, it was critical, before fixation, to rinse the cells for a short time (30 sec) with DMEM (no serum present) or DPBS with Ca^{2+} & Mg^{2+} present (Fig. 2.). These results




Figure 1
Preadsorption control showing the specificity of the Anti-sigma-1 receptor antibody. CHO-K1 cells stained with anti-sigma-1 antibody (0.6 µg/ml). A – no preadsorption; B – before immunostaining antibody was preadsorbed for 1 h with the purified sigma-1 protein (25 µg/ml).

support the present literature data[17,18] indicating that the sigma-1 receptors are able to alter their distribution within the cell and further indicates that divalent cations can modify this distribution, especially in the FAC.

To support the observation that the sigma-1 receptors are present in true FAC a double stain for talin (a FAC marker) was used to show a high level of colocalization (Fig. 3. A–C). It has been previously demonstrated [11,24,25], that sigma-1 receptors modulate the activity of Kv1.4 potassium channels. We found that in CHO-K1 cells Kv1.4 is also targeted to FAC under all sample preparation conditions and double staining for Kv1.4 and sigma-1 receptors showed colocalization in the FAC (Fig 3. D–F).

Further, we show that the sigma-1 receptors were found to localize to the tips of F-Actin rods, where the FAC form (Fig 3. G,H).

Intracellular protein-protein interactions of the sigma-1 receptors in a response to stimulation by specific ligands such as (+)-pentazocine, SKF10047 or cocaine has been demonstrated to change[18]. We therefore explored the possibility that various sigma-1 receptor ligands could alter the amount of receptor in FAC. In CHO-K1 cells following various treatment with (+)-pentazocine, iodoazidococaine and phalloidin (which directly stabilizes F-Actin) we observed that there was a significant increase of the level of sigma-1 receptors in the FAC (Fig. 4.). The level of talin in the FAC in a response to the various ligands showed only a slight increase. Following direct actin filament stabilization with phalloidin a doubling of the level of talin in the FAC was observed, while the sigma-1 receptor levels in the FAC increased more than 6 fold (Fig. 4.).

Since the increase in sigma-1 receptors in FAC upon addition of sigma-1 receptor ligands occurred in a relatively

short period of time (30 min), we hypothesized that this relocation may be due to recruitment of receptors from intracellular pools. In order to determine the availability of the sigma-1 receptors for translocation, we assessed the stability of the receptors in CHO-K1 cells. These experiments were performed by using a unique intracellular photolabeling approach with the high affinity sigma-1 receptor photoprobe, [125 I]-IACoc, which forms a covalent linkage with the receptor residues upon photolysis[21,22]. Fig. 5 shows that *in situ* specific photolabeling in live cells was successful in identifying the sigma-1 receptor. Application of this "pulse-chase" approach for intact cell labeling therefore provided an opportunity to address the question of intracellular stability of photolabeled sigma-1 receptors thus demonstrating that a considerable stable intracellular pool of sigma-1 receptors occurs in CHO-K1 cells. Potential mechanisms of degradation or turnover of the sigma-1 receptors using inhibitors of proteosomal and lysosomal protein digestion were further investigated. The results demonstrated that the half life of the ligand-bound form of the sigma-1 receptor in CHO-K1 cells is 72 hours and was insensitive to lysosomal (chloroquine) and proteosomal (lactacystine) inhibitors.

Discussion

The data reported in this paper show a profound diversity in sigma-1 receptor distribution in CHO-K1 cells. Sigma-1 receptors have previously been shown to localize to the plasma membrane[11,20,26]. In contrast to other cell types[17,18,20,26] sigma-1 receptors are localized to focal adhesions in CHO-K1 cells in a sigma-1 receptor ligand dependent and F-actin stabilizing manner.

Interestingly, a 30 sec rinsing condition (before fixation) with DPBS with divalent cations present appeared to be a critical factor for retaining the sigma-1 receptors in the FAC. When the cells were rinsed with DMEM (without serum), instead of DPBS, the appearance of the sigma-1 receptors was also preserved in FAC, leading to the conclusion that the presence of divalent cations stabilized the sigma-1 receptors in the FAC, but did not appear to stimulate translocation of the sigma-1 receptors to the FAC.

One established function of sigma-1 receptors is modulation of the activity of Kv1.4 potassium channels, presumably as regulatory inhibitory subunits of these channels[11]. Under the experimental conditions reported in this paper the Kv1.4 potassium channels were confined to FAC. The sigma-1 receptor distributed to FAC, on the other hand, indicating a clear regulation by sigma-1 receptor ligands. Although the state of localization of Kv1.4 potassium channels was not assessed with regard to the sigma-1 receptor ligands in this study, it is reasonable to propose that increased focal adhesion targeting of the sigma-1 receptors is a regulatory mechanism for modulation of Kv1.4 voltage-gated channels in FAC in CHO-K1 cells. Volume-regulated chloride channels (VRCC) have also been reported to be profoundly inhibited by sigma-1 receptor ligands in various tumor cells[12]. Although little has been reported in the literature regarding the presence of ion channels in focal adhesions, a recent report is consistent with the presence of HERG potassium channels in the FACs[27].




Figure 2

In CHO-K1 cells during a 30 sec rinsing with buffers with or w/o Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} before fixation changed the intracellular distribution of endogenous sigma-1 receptors. A. Cells were rinsed with DPBS w/o Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} and DMEM. B. Cells were rinsed with DPBS with different concentrations of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}




Figure 3
Endogenous Sigma-1 receptors colocalize with other proteins in the FAC. A-C. Colocalization with talin. A-sigma-1; B-talin; C-Overlay. D-F. Colocalization with Kv1.4. D-sigma-1; E-Kv1.4; F-Overlay. G, H. Colocalization of sigma-1 (green) and F-Actin (red) in mock or phalloidin (50 ng/ml for 30 min) treated cells. Note, that the sigma-1 localized on the the barbed ends of actin filaments.

Previous investigations have shown that in NG-108 cells treated with (+)-pentazocine, sigma-1 receptors translocated to the plasma membrane[18]. Our data further demonstrate that in CHO-K1 cells, the sigma-1 receptors target to the FAC via a specific drug response without a concomitant increase in the total focal adhesion contacts, as measured by the marker protein for FAC, talin (Fig. 3). Sigma-1 receptor ligands such as (+)-pentazocine[18], haloperidol and iodoazidococaine[28], may result in conformational changes in the receptor, leading to recruitment of the receptor to FAC via translocation pathways. It is also possible that multiple intracellular or extracellular signal transduction pathways are triggered by sigma-1 receptor ligands that indirectly result in FAC translocation of the sigma-1 receptors.

Interestingly, stabilization of F-Actin by phalloidin led to an increase in the level of talin and sigma-1 receptors together in FAC. Actin morphology remained intact after the sigma-1 receptor ligand treatments, whereas after





Figure 4
Dynamics of endogenous Sigma-1 receptor and Talin appearance in the FAC in CHO-K1 cells under various treatment conditions. All the compounds indicated were added to cells in complete 10% FBS supplemented DMEM for 30 min at a concentration of 10 μ M except phalloidin (50 nM). Morphometrical quantitation of the amount of the sigma-1 receptor or talin in the FAC was performed with the Axiovision 4.3 software. The total amount of staining (in pixels) for each channel was quantitated for each image and divided by the number of cells (detected by DAPI staining of nuclei). 101–155 cells were measured per each condition.

phalloidin application, strong extended F-actin stress fibers formed (Fig. 3. H) with sigma-1 receptors localized to the tips of the strong fibers. The increase of sigma-1 receptors in FAC induced by the irreversible phalloidin F-actin polymerization indicated that the sigma-1 receptors are stabilized in FAC by F-actin polymerization.

FAC have been shown to interact in signaling pathways with lipid raft proteins, although it's not clear how lipid rafts commute between ER bundles and plasma membrane rafts[29]. Lisanti and coworkers showed that caveolin-1 and caveolin-2 proteins, which are enriched in lipid rafts in a phosphorylated form, localized to FAC, whereas unphosphorylated caveolins localized to the ER[29]. Previously it had been shown by Hayshi and Su that sigma-1 receptors associated with caveolin-2 in ER lipid rafts[30]. The mechanism by which the rate and direction of these processes are integrated with the cytosolic transport machinery remains obscure. There is also no clear evidence for how lipid droplets may be mobilized via actin filaments. In this regard there may well be an intimate relationship between lipid rafts and sigma-1 receptors regarding translocation to the barbed ends of actin filaments. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments performed in the Su laboratory suggested that the transfected GFP-sigma-1 receptors were

**Figure 5**

Stability of the sigma-1 receptors in CHO-K1 cells. Endogenous sigma-1 receptors were [125 I]-IACoc photolabeled in live CHO-K1 cells by a brief photolysis (see Materials and Methods for details). Labeled cells were washed free of excess ligand and plated on culture dishes for various periods of time with or without inhibitors of proteolysis. A. Specificity of [125 I]-azidococaine labeling in live cells was tested by preincubation of cells with 5 μ M haloperidol (hal), prior to [125 I]-IACoc labeling (plus conditions). B. Autoradiogram of CHO-K1 cell lysate collected at different time points after plating of photolabeled cells: time 0 – (lane # 1); after 24 h – (lanes # 2,3,4); after 48 h – (lanes # 5,6,7); after 72 h – (lanes # 8,9,10). The proteosomal inhibitor, lactacystine, 2 μ M (lanes #3,6,9) was added; the lysosomal inhibitor, chloroquine, 100 nM (lanes # 4,7,10) was added. C. Quantified data from the situ photolabeled sigma-1 receptor time-course from 3 independent experiments are represented in part B. These data indicate that in CHO-K1 cells the turnover of the ligand occupied sigma-1 receptor is slow with a half-life at least 72 hours.

able to translocate quickly throughout the entire network via the membranes of the ER[26].

The intracellular *in situ* sigma-1 receptor stability assessed by [125 I]-IACoc photolabeling (Fig. 5.) is consistent with the possibility that recruitment of the sigma-1 receptors to FAC from intracellular pools occurs. In this regard it is further relevant that IACoc also increased appearance of the sigma-1 receptors in the FAC (Fig. 4.).

Further studies are necessary to establish the role and the level of sigma-1 receptor involvement in lipid rafts and determine the direct sigma-1 receptor/lipid raft trafficking pathway into the FAC and the plasma membrane.

Methods

Immunocytochemistry

Coverslips (Fisher #12-545-82) were precleaned overnight in Aqua Regia, rinsed three times with double distilled H₂O and stored in 100% ethanol. On the day of seeding, coverslips were placed into each well of a 24 well cell culture cluster (Costar #3524), dried and coated w 0.01% poly-L-ornithine (Sigma #5666) for 30 min, rinsed three times with double distilled H₂O for 10 min each time, aspirated and dried under UV illumination.

CHO-K1 cells were split in the amount of 1,000 cells/coverslip and grown (in a 37°C incubator with 5% CO₂) for 72 h in DMEM supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) of cosmic calf serum (HyClone #SH30087-03) and a 1× non-essential aminoacid solution (Sigma #M7145) to reach a well-spread morphology and confluence of 70–80%. Cells were briefly rinsed twice for 30 sec with 1× DPBS

with or where indicated without CaCl_2 and MgCl_2 (100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$) and fixed with sterile-filtered 3.7% paraformaldehyde, 0.02% picric acid 1 \times DPBS, pH 7.4 for 15 min. After fixation, cells were rinsed three times with 1 \times PBS, quenched with 100 mM glycine 1 \times PBS for 3 min, rinsed three times with 1 \times PBS (each rinsing step was 3 min) and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 3 min. Blocking reagent (10% normal goat serum, Biomed # ES1028) with 0.1% Triton X-100 present was used for 15 min. Incubation time with the primary antibody was for 1 h, and the cells were then rinsed three times with 1 \times PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100 and the secondary fluorophore conjugated goat-anti-rabbit/anti-mouse Fab (Molecular Probes # A11070 was used for Alexa 488 anti-rabbit or A11020 for Alexa594 anti-mouse conjugates). The solution was applied for 1 h (always in the presence of 2.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$, 1 \times PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100). The cells were then rinsed three times with 1 \times PBS, 0.1% Triton, twice with PBS only, stained with 300 nM DAPI for two min, rinsed three times with PBS one min each time, aspirated and embedded into Mowiol mounting media in the presence of 2% Dabco, 2.5% Propyl Gallate and then fixed to the glass slide using clear nail polish (Electron Microscopy Sciences # 72180).

Images were taken under a Zeiss Axiovert 200 M epifluorescent microscope at 100 \times oil objective with Axiovision 4.3 Software. Morphometrical quantitation of the lengths of sigma-1 receptor and/or talin in the FAC was performed with the same software. The total amount of staining (in pixels) for each channel was measured for every image and divided by the amount of cells (determined by nuclear staining with DAPI). Phalloidin was from Molecular Probes (# A12381). Monoclonal antibodies against talin and Kv1.4 were from Sigma-Aldrich (#T3287 and K1264, respectively). The anti-sigma-1 receptor polyclonal antibody was prepared as described previously[23].

Photolabeling in situ by [^{125}I]-Iodoazidococaine in intact CHO-K1 cells

Synthesis of [^{125}I]-Iodoazidococaine was performed as described previously[21,22]. CHO-K1 cells were grown on two 10 cm Petri Dishes to 80% confluence. Cells were rinsed three times with DMEM (no serum) and 5 μM Haloperidol (as a protector) was added to one dish for 20 min. Then, the medium from the dishes was aspirated and 1 nM [^{125}I]-Iodoazidococaine was added into each dish in 1 ml of Dulbecco modified Eagles medium (DMEM) for 20 min. The cells were then rinsed three times with DMEM (30 sec each time) and once with DPBS, aspirated and dishes were exposed to an AH-6 mercury lamp for 5 sec at a distance of ~ 15 cm.

Cells were then rinsed three times with DPBS, aspirated, and 1 ml of Laemmli buffer 1 \times was added into each dish

and incubated on ice for 20 min. Lysate was passed through a syringe with a 21 G needle (to shear DNA) and collected. 80 μl were loaded into each well for SDS-PAGE analysis.

To assess the stability of the photolabeled sigma-1 receptor, CHO-K1 cells were grown to 80% confluence on one 15 cm Petri Dish and photolabeled as described above. After exposure to light, cells were rinsed three times with DPBS (30 sec each time), briefly detached by addition of 0.05 Trypsine-EDTA, collected by a quick low spin and resuspended. Equal amounts of cells were split into 9 of 5 cm Petri Dishes in DMEM supplemented with 0.5% FBS. An additional aliquot (time zero sample) was collected by a brief centrifugation, the supernatant discarded and 350 μl of 1 \times Laemmli buffer was added to cells. An inhibitor of proteosomal degradation (Lactacystine 2 μM) and an inhibitor of lysosomal degradation (Chloroquine 100 nM) were added to assess potential degradation pathways. For harvesting, the medium was removed from the dishes and 350 μl of 1 \times Laemmli buffer was added per dish, incubated for 20 min on ice, passed through a syringe with a 21 G needle and collected for loading onto the SDS gel. 80 μl of sample was loaded per well.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Dr. Abdol Hajipour for preparation of Iodoazidococaine, Dr. Lianwang Guo for proofreading the manuscript and Catherine Balankin for morphometrical quantitations. This work was supported by National Institute of Health (Grant ROI MH065503) to A.E.R.

References

- Langa F, Codony X, Tovar V, Lavado A, Gimenez E, Cozar P, et al: **Generation and phenotypic analysis of sigma receptor type I (sigma 1) knockout mice.** *Eur J Neurosci* 2003, **18(8)**:2188-96.
- Martin WR, Eades CG, Thompson JA, Huppler RE, Gilbert PE: **The effects of morphine- and nalorphine-like drugs in the nondependent and morphine-dependent chronic spinal dog.** *The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics* 1976, **197(3)**:517-32.
- Quirion R, Bowen WD, Itzhak Y, Junien JL, Musacchio JM, Rothman RB, et al: **A proposal for the classification of sigma binding sites.** *Trends in pharmacological sciences* 1992, **13(3)**:85-6.
- Su TP: **Evidence for sigma opioid receptor: binding of [^3H]SKF-10047 to etorphine-inaccessible sites in guinea-pig brain.** *The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics* 1982, **223(2)**:284-90.
- Moebius FF, Burrows GG, Hanner M, Schmid E, Striessnig J, Glossmann H: **Identification of a 27-kDa high affinity phenylalkylamine-binding polypeptide as the sigma 1 binding site by photoaffinity labeling and ligand-directed antibodies.** *Mol Pharmacol* 1993, **44(5)**:966-71.
- Nguyen EC, McCracken KA, Liu Y, Pouw B, Matsumoto RR: **Involvement of sigma (sigma) receptors in the acute actions of methamphetamine: receptor binding and behavioral studies.** *Neuropharmacology* 2005, **49(5)**:638-45.
- Su TP, London ED, Jaffe JH: **Steroid binding at sigma receptors suggests a link between endocrine, nervous, and immune systems.** *Science (New York, NY)* **240(4849)**:219-21. 1988 Apr 8;

8. Guitart X, Codony X, Monroy X: **Sigma receptors: biology and therapeutic potential.** *Psychopharmacology* 2004, **174(3)**:301-19.
9. Cendan CM, Pujalte JM, Portillo-Salido E, Montoliu L, Baeyens JM: **Formalin-induced pain is reduced in sigma(1) receptor knockout mice.** *European journal of pharmacology* **511(1)**:73-4. 2005 Mar 21;
10. Su TP, Hayashi T: **Understanding the molecular mechanism of sigma-1 receptors: towards a hypothesis that sigma-1 receptors are intracellular amplifiers for signal transduction.** *Current medicinal chemistry* 2003, **10(20)**:2073-80.
11. Aydar E, Palmer CP, Klyachko VA, Jackson MB: **The sigma receptor as a ligand-regulated auxiliary potassium channel subunit.** *Neuron* **34(3)**:399-410. 2002 Apr 25;
12. Renaudo A, L'Hoste S, Guizouarn H, Borgese F, Soriani O: **Cancer cell cycle modulated by a functional coupling between sigma-1 receptors and Cl-channels.** *J Biol Chem* **282(4)**:2259-67. 2007 Jan 26;
13. Seth P, Leibach FH, Ganapathy V: **Cloning and structural analysis of the cDNA and the gene encoding the murine type I sigma receptor.** *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* **241(2)**:535-40. 1997 Dec 18;
14. Cormaci G, Mori T, Hayashi T, Su TP: **Protein kinase A activation down-regulates, whereas extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation up-regulates sigma-1 receptors in B-104 cells: Implication for neuroplasticity.** *The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics* 2007, **320(1)**:202-10.
15. Matsumoto RR, Liu Y, Lerner M, Howard EV, Brackett DJ: **Sigma receptors: potential medications development target for anti-cocaine agents.** *European journal of pharmacology* **469(1-3)**:1-12. 2003 May 23;
16. Liu Y, Chen GD, Lerner MR, Brackett DJ, Matsumoto RR: **Cocaine up-regulates Fra-2 and sigma-1 receptor gene and protein expression in brain regions involved in addiction and reward.** *The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics* 2005, **314(2)**:770-9.
17. Morin-Surun MP, Collin T, Denavit-Saubie M, Baulieu EE, Monnet FP: **Intracellular sigma1 receptor modulates phospholipase C and protein kinase C activities in the brainstem.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **96(14)**:8196-9. 1999 Jul 6;
18. Hayashi T, Su TP: **Regulating ankyrin dynamics: Roles of sigma-1 receptors.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **98(2)**:491-6. 2001 Jan 16;
19. Hayashi T, Su TP: **Sigma-1 receptors at galactosylceramide-enriched lipid microdomains regulate oligodendrocyte differentiation.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **101(41)**:14949-54. 2004 Oct 12;
20. Aydar E, Onganer P, Perrett R, Djamgoz MB, Palmer CP: **The expression and functional characterization of sigma (sigma) 1 receptors in breast cancer cell lines.** *Cancer Lett* **242(2)**:245-57. 2006 Oct 28;
21. Kahoun JR, Ruoho AE: **(125I)iodoazidococaine, a photoaffinity label for the haloperidol-sensitive sigma receptor.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **89(4)**:1393-7. 1992 Feb 15;
22. Chen Y, Hajipour AR, Sievert MK, Arbabian M, Ruoho AE: **Characterization of the cocaine binding site on the sigma-1 receptor.** *Biochemistry* **46(11)**:3532-42. 2007 Mar 20;
23. Ramachandran S, Lu H, Prabhu U, Ruoho AE: **Purification and characterization of the guinea pig sigma-1 receptor functionally expressed in Escherichia coli.** *Protein Expr Purif* 2007, **51(2)**:283-92.
24. Lupardus PJ, Wilke RA, Aydar E, Palmer CP, Chen Y, Ruoho AE, et al.: **Membrane-delimited coupling between sigma receptors and K+ channels in rat neurohypophysial terminals requires neither G-protein nor ATP.** *J Physiol* **526(Pt 3)**:527-39. 2000 Aug 1;
25. Wilke RA, Lupardus PJ, Grandy DK, Rubinstein M, Low MJ, Jackson MB: **K+ channel modulation in rodent neurohypophysial nerve terminals by sigma receptors and not by dopamine receptors.** *J Physiol* **517(Pt 2)**:391-406. 1999 Jun 1;
26. Hayashi T, Su TP: **Intracellular dynamics of sigma-1 receptors (sigma(1) binding sites) in NG108-15 cells.** *The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics* 2003, **306(2)**:726-33.
27. Cherubini A, Hofmann G, Pillozzi S, Guasti L, Crociani O, Cilia E, et al.: **Human ether-a-go-go-related gene I channels are physically linked to beta1 integrins and modulate adhesion-dependent signaling.** *Mol Biol Cell* 2005, **16(6)**:2972-83.
28. Wilke RA, Mehta RP, Lupardus PJ, Chen Y, Ruoho AE, Jackson MB: **Sigma receptor photolabeling and sigma receptor-mediated modulation of potassium channels in tumor cells.** *J Biol Chem* **274(26)**:18387-92. 1999 Jun 25;
29. Lee H, Park DS, Wang XB, Scherer PE, Schwartz PE, Lisanti MP: **Src-induced phosphorylation of caveolin-2 on tyrosine 19. Phospho-caveolin-2 (Tyr(P)19) is localized near focal adhesions, remains associated with lipid rafts/caveolae, but no longer forms a high molecular mass hetero-oligomer with caveolin-1.** *J Biol Chem* **277(37)**:34556-67. 2002 Sep 13;
30. Hayashi T, Su TP: **Sigma-1 receptors (sigma(1) binding sites) form raft-like microdomains and target lipid droplets on the endoplasmic reticulum: roles in endoplasmic reticulum lipid compartmentalization and export.** *The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics* 2003, **306(2)**:718-25.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

